UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/566,899	09/25/2006	Che-Hung Robert Lee	NIH275.001NP	9600	
	36218 7590 11/24/2009 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			EXAMINER	
121 S.W. SALN		SWARTZ, RODNEY P			
SUITE #1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204-2988			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/566,899	LEE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D.	1645
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre-	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 and 19-22 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) 19,20,22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 21 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 1February 2006 is/are	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement. ner. e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct T1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/1/06,1/30/08,4/15/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/566,899 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' Response to Restriction Requirement, received 28 July 2009, is acknowledged. Applicants elect, without traverse, Invention I, claims 1-8 and 19-22, drawn to first vaccine construct and method of making.

Claims 9-18 and 23-32 have been cancelled.

2. Claims 1-8 and 19-22 are pending and under consideration.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Paragraph 0011, line 5, the cited reference (Frasch et al) is incomplete Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 21 is objected to because of the following informality: "Pneumococcus" should be in italics. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1:

Application/Control Number: 10/566,899 Page 3

Art Unit: 1645

step 2 is buffer exchanging the solution of the polysaccharide to a pH of from about 7 to about 8.

Step 4 is raising a pH of the solution of protein to from about 6 to about 7.

Step 5 is raising the protein solution pH to from about 7 to about 11.

Step 6 is buffer exchanging the solution of the protein to a pH of from about 10 to about 11.

Step 7 is reacting the protein solution with the polysaccharide solution at a pH of from about 6 to about 8.

Since the pH of the final protein solution (step 5) is from about 7 to about 11, and the pH of the final polysaccharide solution (step 2) is from about 10 to about 11, it is unclear how one reacts the polysaccharide with the protein at a pH of from about 6 to about 8, without alteration of the pH of the final polysaccharide solution, pH 7-8, and the final protein solution, pH 10-11.

Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1, but do not clarify the issue.

6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 16, recites "reducing substantially all" of bonds. The specification does not define the metes and bounds of what constitutes "substantially all" bonds and therefore the claim is unclear as to how many of the bonds are to be reduced and satisfy the claimed invention.

Claims 2-8 depend from claim 1, but do not clarify the issue.

Conclusion

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected. Claim 21 is objected to.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,899 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D., Art Unit 1645, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM EST. Thursday is the examiner's work at home day.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the Examiner's Supervisor, Robert B. Mondesi (571)272-0956.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Rodney P. Swartz, Ph.D./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645

November 24, 2009